

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/800,776	YAMANOUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Callie E. Shosho	Art Unit 1714	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) George Lesmes.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4,10

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability (See Part II Above)
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Lesmes in order (i) that claim 4 recite proper Markush language, (ii) to ensure that in claim 4 Formula [S-8] recited correct subscript as described in the claim, (iii) to delete period in claim 10 which was other than at the end of the claim and thus improper, and (iv) to more clearly define the constituents of claim 10.